Submission ID: 29802

Not Policy (ISH1) This is CLEARLY a new runway, however Gatwick try to disguise this fact. It does not comply with 'Beyond the Horizons – Making Best Use of Existing Runways'. For example

\* Aircraft Noise (ISH90) How on earth will Gatwick achieve the 0.5 decibel reduction every year in the noise envelope, as proposed by PINS (proposed at ISH9). with a BIG increase in flights? Answer: they won't.

\* EasyJet and British Airways have both submitted that the airspace needs modernisation to allow for the increase in flights from 2 runways, so this should have been included in this application.

\* There's no proposal for meaningful compensation for residents impacted by both a new runway (e.g. insulation, financial compensation)

\* Lack of affordable housing and amenities in the Crawley area and around has not been fully examined or considered. It will require a HUGE inward migration of workers to the area; where are they going to live? What will impact on schools and the NHS be?

\* No consideration for the additional strain on roads and rail.

\* What about increased air pollutions? Some of us really care about air quality.

I could go on .....